Kung

June 9, 2011

The Honorable Gloria Rhynes, Presiding Judge Superior Court of California, Alameda County Appellate Department René C. Davidson Courthouse 1225 Fallon Street Oakland, CA 94612

Re: Request for Publication (California Rules of Court, Rule 8.1120) *People of the State of California v.* Kung
Appellate Department Case No. 5113
Trial Court Case No. 50608153/TRF
Date of Appellate Opinion: June 1, 2011

Dear Presiding Judge Rhynes:

I am the defendant and appellant in the above-entitled matter. I would like to request the Court to publish its June 1, 2011 opinion in this matter pursuant to California Rules of Court ("CRC", Rule 8.1120, for the reason set forth herein why the opinion meets the standard for publication¹. A copy of this correspondence has been served on all parties of record, as shown by the attached proof of service [CRC, Rule 8.1120(a)(4)].

1. *People of the State of California v. Kung* involves a legal issue of continuing public interest [CRC, Rule 8.1105(c)(6)]

The publication of the *People of the State of California v.* Kung is significant because the Court's interpretation of the statute creates a question of first impression and implicates a factual situation likely to be the subject of continuing and persistent litigation in pending and future cases involving motorists contesting red light camera violation citations issued by the law enforcement agencies within the County of Alameda which utilize Reflex for red light photo enforcement equipment.

¹ For the publication purposes, the Court is respectfully requested to modify its opinion to include relevant facts and law with respect to the opinion. [CRC, Rule 8.888(b)]

People of the State of California v. Kung Request for Publication June 9, 2011 Page 2

Accordingly, the *People of the State of California v. Kung* opinion meets the criteria for publication under subdivision (c) of CRC, Rule 8.1105 (;).

For the foregoing reasons Appellant respectfully requests the Court to certify the publication of the *People of the State of California v. Kung.*

Respectfully submitted,

. •

C

KUNG

Defendant/Appellant, IN PRO PER

Cc: Parties of Record (Proof of Service)